arXiv:1702.02126v1 [math.CA] 7 Feb 2017

A TWO-PARAMETER FINITE FIELD ERDŐS-FALCONER DISTANCE PROBLEM

P. BIRKLBAUER AND A. IOSEVICH

Abstract. We study the following two-parameter variant of the Erdős-Falconer distance problem. Given $E, F \subset \mathbb{F}_q^{k+l}$, $l \geq k \geq 2$, the $k+l$-dimensional vector space over the finite field with q elements, let $B_{k,l}(E,F)$ be given by

$$\{(\|x'-y'\|, \|x''-y''\|) : x=(x',x'') \in E, y=(y',y'') \in F; x', y' \in \mathbb{F}_q^k, x'', y'' \in \mathbb{F}_q^l\}.$$

We prove that if $|E||F| \geq Cq^{k+2l+1}$, then $B_{k,l}(E,F) = \mathbb{F}_q \times \mathbb{F}_q$. Furthermore this result is sharp if k is odd. For the case of $l=k=2$ and q a prime with $q \equiv 3 \mod 4$ we get that for every positive C there is c such that

$$\text{if } |E||F| > Cq^{6+\frac{2}{3}}, \text{ then } |B_{2,2}(E,F)| > cq^2.$$

1. Introduction

The Erdős-Falconer distance problem in $\mathbb{F}_q^d$ is to determine how large $E \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$ needs to be to ensure that

$$\Delta(E) = \{||x-y|| : x, y \in E\},$$

with $||x|| = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_d^2$, is the whole field $\mathbb{F}_q$, or at least a positive proportion thereof. Here and throughout, $\mathbb{F}_q$ denotes the field with q elements and $\mathbb{F}_q^d$ is the d-dimensional vector space over this field.

The distance problem in vector spaces over finite fields was introduced by Bourgain, Katz and Tao in [2]. In the form described above, it was introduced by the second listed author of this paper and Misha Rudnev ([5]), who proved that $\Delta(E) = \mathbb{F}_q$ if $|E| > 2q^{\frac{d+1}{2}}$. It was shown in [4] that this exponent is essentially sharp for general fields when d is odd. When $d=2$, it was proved in [3] that if if $E \subset \mathbb{F}_q^2$ with $|E| \geq cq^{\frac{4}{3}}$, then $|\Delta(E)| \geq C(c)q$. We do not know if improvements of the $\frac{d+1}{2}$ exponent are possible in even dimensions ≥ 4. We also do not know if improvements of the $\frac{d+1}{2}$ exponent are possible in any even dimension if we wish to conclude that $\Delta(E) = \mathbb{F}_q$, not just a positive proportion.

Date: February 7, 2017.

This work was partially supported by the NSA Grant H98230-15-1-0319.

In this paper we introduce a two-parameter variant of the Erdős-Falconer distance problem. Given $E, F \subset \mathbb{F}_q^{k+l}$, $l \geq k \geq 2$, the $k+l$-dimensional vector space over the finite field with q elements, define $B_{k,l}(E,F)$ by

$$\{(\|x'-y'\|, \|x''-y''\|) : x=(x',x'') \in E, y=(y',y'') \in F; x', y' \in \mathbb{F}_q^k, x'', y'' \in \mathbb{F}_q^l\}.$$

This formulation introduces immediate interesting geometric complications. For example, let $k=l=2$, let

$$E = \{(x,0,0) : ||x|| = 1\} \text{ and } F = \{(0,0,y) : ||y|| = 1\}.$$

Then $B_{2,2}(E,F) = \{(1,1)\}$. However, we are going to see that if $|E||F|$ is sufficiently large, then $B_{k,l}(E,F) = \mathbb{F}_q \times \mathbb{F}_q$. Our first result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $E, F \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^{k+l}$, $l \geq k \geq 2$. There is a $C > 0$ such that*

$$\text{if } |E||F| > Cq^{k+2l+1} \text{ then } B_{k,l}(E,F) = \mathbb{F}_q \times \mathbb{F}_q.$$

If k is odd, this result is best possible, up to the value of the constant C.

When k is even, we can hope to improve the exponent a bit. We are able to accomplish this in the case $k=l=2$. Our second result is the following.

Theorem 1.2. *Let q a prime with $q \equiv 3 \mod 4$. For every positive C there is c such that for $E, F \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^{2+2}$*

$$\text{if } |E||F| > Cq^{6+\frac{2}{3}}, \text{ then } |B_{2,2}(E,F)| > cq^2.$$

While this result probably is not sharp, we show the exponent cannot go below 6.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We begin with a quick review of Fourier analytic preliminaries.

Let χ be the principal additive character on $\mathbb{F}_q$. Given $f : \mathbb{F}_q^d \to \mathbb{C}$, define

$$\widehat{f}(m) = q^{-d} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^d} \chi(-x \cdot m) f(x).$$

Observe that

$$f(x) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{F}_q^d} \chi(x \cdot m) \widehat{f}(m),$$

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{F}_q^d} |\widehat{f}(m)|^2 = q^{-d} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^d} |f(x)|^2$$

and

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^d} \chi(x \cdot m) = 0 \text{ if } m \neq \vec{0} \text{ and } q^d \text{ otherwise.}$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let* $S_t^{d-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^d : \|x\| = t\}$, *where* $\|x\| = x_1^2 + \cdots + x_d^2$. *If* $t \neq 0$ *and* $m \neq \vec{0}$, *then*

$$|\widehat{S}_t^{d-1}(m)| \leq 2q^{-\frac{d+1}{2}}.$$

Lemma 2.2. *With the notation above,*

$$|S_t^{d-1}| = q^{d-1} + O(q^{d-2}).$$

For a proof of Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, see [5]. See also [7] and [6]. See [8] on a spectral graph theory viewpoint on similar phenomena.

We now move on to the proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $E(X), F(Y)$ denote the indicator functions of E, F, respectively, where $X = (x', x'')$ and $Y = (y', y'')$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\|x'-y'\|=a; \|x''-y''\|=b} E(X)F(Y) \\
&= \sum_{X,Y} S_a^{k-1}(x'-y')S_b^{l-1}(x''-y'')E(X)F(Y) \\
&= \sum_{X,Y,m',m''} \widehat{S}_a^{k-1}(m')\widehat{S}_b^{l-1}(m'')\chi((x'-y')\cdot m')\chi((x''-y'')\cdot m'')E(X)F(Y) \\
&= \sum_{X,Y,m',m''} \widehat{S}_a^{k-1}(m')\widehat{S}_b^{l-1}(m'')\chi((X-Y)\cdot M)E(X)F(Y) \\
&= q^{2(k+l)} \sum_M \widehat{S}_a^{k-1}(m')\widehat{S}_b^{l-1}(m'')\widehat{E}(M)\overline{\widehat{F}(M)}. \qquad (2.1)
\end{aligned}$$

We shall now break up the sum into three pieces. The first piece is the sum over $m' = m'' = \vec{0}$. The second piece is the sum over $m' \neq \vec{0}, m'' \neq \vec{0}$. The third sum is over $m' = \vec{0}, m'' \neq \vec{0}$ or $m'' = \vec{0}, m' \neq \vec{0}$.

2.1. **The term** $m' = \vec{0}, m'' = \vec{0}$**.** Plugging this condition into (2.1) we obtain

$$|E||F||S_a^{k-1}||S_b^{l-1}|q^{-k-l}. \qquad (2.2)$$

2.2. **The term** $m' \neq \vec{0}, m'' \neq \vec{0}$**.** Using Cauchy-Schwarz we see that

$$\left(\sum_{m'\neq\vec{0}\neq m''} \widehat{S}_a^{k-1}(m')\widehat{S}_b^{l-1}(m'')\widehat{E}(M)\overline{\widehat{F}(M)}\right)^2 \leq \sum_{m'\neq\vec{0}\neq m''} |\widehat{S}_a^{k-1}(m')\widehat{S}_b^{l-1}(m'')\widehat{E}(M)|^2 \sum_{n'\neq\vec{0}\neq n''} |\widehat{F}(N)|^2$$

Now for the first sum we see by using Lemma 2.1 and Plancherel that it is bounded by

$$\left(2q^{-\frac{k+1}{2}}\right)^2 \left(2q^{-\frac{l+1}{2}}\right)^2 \sum_M |\widehat{E}(M)|^2 = 16q^{-(k+l+2)}q^{-k-l}|E|.$$

And again by Plancherel

$$\sum_{N\neq\vec{0}} |\widehat{F}(N)|^2 \leq q^{-k-l}|F|$$

Therefore

$$q^{2(k+l)} \sum_{M\neq\vec{0}} \widehat{S}_a^{k-1}(m')\widehat{S}_b^{l-1}(m'')\widehat{E}(M)\overline{\widehat{F}(M)} \leq 4q^{\frac{k+l}{2}-1}\sqrt{|E||F|}$$

2.3. **The term $m' \neq \vec{0}, m'' = \vec{0}$.** We obtain

$$q^{2(k+l)} \cdot q^{-l}|S_b^{l-1}| \sum_{m'\neq\vec{0}} \widehat{S}_a^{k-1}(m')\widehat{E}\left(m',\vec{0}\right)\overline{\widehat{F}\left(m',\vec{0}\right)} \tag{2.3}$$

Very similarly to the previous case we see

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\sum_{m'\neq\vec{0}} \widehat{S}_a^{k-1}(m')|\widehat{E}\left(m',\vec{0}\right)\overline{\widehat{F}\left(m',\vec{0}\right)}\right)^2 &\leq \sum_{m'\neq\vec{0}} \left|\widehat{S}_a^{k-1}(m')\widehat{E}\left(m',\vec{0}\right)\right|^2 \sum_{n'\neq\vec{0}} \left|\widehat{F}\left(n',\vec{0}\right)\right|^2 \\ &\leq 4q^{-k-1}\sum_{m'} \left|\widehat{E}\left(m',\vec{0}\right)\right|^2 \sum_{n'} \left|\widehat{F}\left(n',\vec{0}\right)\right|^2\end{aligned}$$

And furthermore we have the following

Lemma 2.3. *For $E \subset \mathbb{F}_q^{k+l}$ we have*

$$\sum_{m'\in\mathbb{F}_q^k} \left|\widehat{E}\left(m',\vec{0}\right)\right|^2 \leq q^{-k-l}|E|$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{m'\in\mathbb{F}_q^k} \left|\widehat{E}\left(m',\vec{0}\right)\right|^2 &= \sum_{m'\in\mathbb{F}_q^k} q^{-2(k+l)} \sum_{\substack{x',y'\in\mathbb{F}_q^k \\ x'',y''\in\mathbb{F}_q^l}} \chi((x'-y')m')E(x',x'')E(y',y'') \\ &= q^{-k-2l} \sum_{\substack{x'\in\mathbb{F}_q^k \\ x'',y''\in\mathbb{F}_q^l}} E(x',x'')\underbrace{E(x',y'')}_{\leq 1} \\ &\leq q^{-k-l}|E|.\end{aligned}$$

□

So now we can bound (2.3).

$$q^{2(k+l)} \cdot q^{-l}|S_b^{l-1}| \cdot 2q^{\frac{-k-1}{2}} q^{-k-l}\sqrt{|E||F|} = 2q^{\frac{k-1}{2}}|S_b^{l-1}|\sqrt{|E||F|}$$

Putting everything together we see that

$$\sum_{\|x'-y'\|=a;\|x''-y''\|=b} E(X)F(Y) = |E||F|\frac{|S_a^{k-1}|}{q^k}\frac{|S_b^{l-1}|}{q^l} + \mathcal{D}, \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$|\mathcal{D}| \leq 2q^{\frac{k-1}{2}}\sqrt{|E||F|}|S_b^{l-1}| + 2q^{\frac{l-1}{2}}\sqrt{|E||F|}|S_a^{k-1}| + 4q^{\frac{k+l}{2}-1}\sqrt{|E||F|}.$$

By a direct calculation (remembering that $l \geq k$) and using Lemma 2.2, the right hand side of (2.4) is positive if

$$|E||F| > 16q^{k+2l+1},$$

as desired.

Finally for the sharpness of this result in the case k odd, we need the following theorem from [4].

Theorem 2.4. *There exists $c > 0$ and $E \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$, d odd, such that*

$$|E| \geq cq^{\frac{d+1}{2}} \text{ and } \Delta(E) \neq \mathbb{F}_q.$$

Let $E_1 \subset \mathbb{F}_q^k$ be a set as in theorem above and $E_2 = \mathbb{F}_q^l$. With $E = E_1 \times E_2$ we get $|E| \geq cq^{\frac{2l+k+1}{2}}$ and $B_{k,l}(E,E) = \Delta(E_1) \times \Delta(E_2) = \Delta(E_1) \times \mathbb{F}_q \neq \mathbb{F}_q \times \mathbb{F}_q$ since $\Delta(E_1) \neq \mathbb{F}_q$. Hence our result is sharp if k is odd.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

For $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$ let

$$s(a,b) := |\{(x',x'',y',y'') \in E \times F : \|x'-y'\| = a, \|x''-y''\| = b\}|.$$

We observe

$$\left(\sum_{a,b\in\mathbb{F}_q} s(a,b)\right)^2 = |E|^2|F|^2$$

while at the same time Cauchy-Schwarz yields

$$\left(\sum_{a,b\in\mathbb{F}_q} s(a,b)\right)^2 \leq B_{k,l}(E,F)\sum_{a,b\in\mathbb{F}_q} s(a,b)^2.$$

Hence,

$$\frac{|E|^2|F|^2}{\sum_{a,b\in\mathbb{F}_q} s(a,b)^2} \leq B_{k,l}(E,F) \tag{3.1}$$

so an upper bound on $\sum_{a,b\in\mathbb{F}_q} s(a,b)^2$ will provide a lower bound for $B_{k,l}(E,F)$. Now

$$s(a,b)^2 = \Big|\big\{(x',x'',y',y'',z',z'',w',w'')\in E\times F\times E\times F : \\ \|x'-y'\| = a = \|z'-w'\|, \|x''-y''\| = b = \|z''-w''\|\big\}\Big|$$

so

$$\sum_{a,b\in\mathbb{F}_q} s(a,b)^2 = \Big|\big\{(x',x'',y',y'',z',z'',w',w'')\in E\times F\times E\times F : \\ \|x'-y'\| = \|z'-w'\|, \|x''-y''\| = \|z''-w''\|\big\}\Big|. \tag{3.2}$$

We now proceed as in [1]. For $\theta,\varphi\in SO_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ we define $r^E_{\theta,\varphi}:\mathbb{F}_q^2\times\mathbb{F}_q^2\to\mathbb{C}$ by the following property.

$$\sum_{u',u''\in\mathbb{F}_q^2} r^E_{\theta,\varphi}(u',u'')f(u',u'') = \sum_{x',x'',z',z''\in\mathbb{F}_q^2} f(x'-\theta z', x''-\varphi z'')E(x',x'')E(z',z'')$$

for all $f:\mathbb{F}_q^2\times\mathbb{F}_q^2\to\mathbb{C}$

By setting

$$f(u',u'') = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } u'=u'' \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

it is easily seen that $r^E_{\theta,\varphi}$ is well defined and we get

$$r^E_{\theta,\varphi}(u',u'') = |\{(x',x'',z',z'')\in E\times E : x'-\theta z' = u', x''-\varphi z'' = u''\}|$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{u',u''\in\mathbb{F}_q^2} r^E_{\theta,\varphi}(u',u'')r^F_{\theta,\varphi}(u',u'') = \tag{3.3}$$
$$\big|\{(x',x'',z',z'',y',y'',w',w'')\in E^2\times F^2 : x'-\theta z' = y'-\theta w', x''-\varphi z'' = y''-\varphi w''\}\big|$$

With $f(u',u'') = q^{-4}\chi(-u'\cdot m'-u''\cdot m'')$ we can also calculate the Fourier-transform

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{r^E_{\theta,\varphi}}(m',m'') &= \sum_{u',u''\in\mathbb{F}_q^2} r^E_{\theta,\varphi}(u',u'')q^{-4}\chi(-u'\cdot m' - u''\cdot m'')\\ &= \sum_{x',x'',z',z''\in\mathbb{F}_q^2} q^{-4}\chi(-(x'-\theta z')\cdot m' - (x''-\varphi z'')\cdot m'')E(x',x'')E(z',z'')\\ &= q^4\widehat{E}(m',m'')\overline{\widehat{E}(\theta m',\varphi m'')}\end{aligned}$$

Now our key observation is the following

Lemma 3.1. *Let q a prime, $q\equiv 3 \mod 4$. Then for $x,y\in\mathbb{F}_q^2\setminus\{\vec{0}\}$ we have $\|x\| = \|y\|$ if and only if there is a unique $\theta\in SO_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ such that $x=\theta y$*

This observation allows us to make the following connection

$$\sum_{a,b\in\mathbb{F}_q} s(a,b)^2 \le \sum_{\substack{u',u''\in\mathbb{F}_q^2\\ \theta,\varphi\in SO_2(\mathbb{F}_q)}} r^E_{\theta,\varphi}(u',u'')r^F_{\theta,\varphi}(u',u'')$$

by comparing (3.2) and (3.3) and seeing that

$$\|x'-y'\| = \|z'-w'\| \implies \exists\theta\in SO_2(\mathbb{F}_q) : x'-\theta z' = y'-\theta w'.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{U\in\mathbb{F}_q^2\times\mathbb{F}_q^2} r^E_{\theta,\varphi}(U)r^F_{\theta,\varphi}(U) &= \sum_{U\in\mathbb{F}_q^2\times\mathbb{F}_q^2}\sum_{M\in\mathbb{F}_q^4}\chi(UM)\widehat{r^E_{\theta,\varphi}}(M)\sum_{N\in\mathbb{F}_q^4}\chi(UN)\widehat{r^F_{\theta,\varphi}}(N)\\ &= \sum_{M\in\mathbb{F}_q^4}\widehat{r^E_{\theta,\varphi}}(M)\sum_{N\in\mathbb{F}_q^4}\widehat{r^F_{\theta,\varphi}}(N)\sum_{U\in\mathbb{F}_q^2\times\mathbb{F}_q^2}\chi(U(N+M))\\ &= q^4\sum_{M\in\mathbb{F}_q^4}\widehat{r^E_{\theta,\varphi}}(M)\overline{\widehat{r^F_{\theta,\varphi}}(N)}\end{aligned}$$

and it remains to find a bound for

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\theta,\varphi\in SO_2(\mathbb{F}_q)}\sum_{u',u''\in\mathbb{F}_q^2} r^E_{\theta,\varphi}(u',u'')r^F_{\theta,\varphi}(u',u'') &= q^4\sum_{\theta,\varphi\in SO_2(\mathbb{F}_q)}\sum_{m',m''\in\mathbb{F}_q^2}\widehat{r^E_{\theta,\varphi}}(m',m'')\overline{\widehat{r^F_{\theta,\varphi}}(m',m'')}\\ &= q^{12}\sum_{m',m''\in\mathbb{F}_q^2}\sum_{\theta,\varphi\in SO_2(\mathbb{F}_q)}\widehat{E}(m',m'')\overline{\widehat{E}(\theta m',\varphi m'')\widehat{F}(m',m'')}\widehat{F}(\theta m',\varphi m''). \qquad (3.4)\end{aligned}$$

Again we will need to split the sum into three terms

3.1. **The term $m' = \vec{0}, m'' = \vec{0}$.** Plugging into (3.4) we get

$$q^{12} \sum_{\theta,\varphi \in SO_2(\mathbb{F}_q)} \left|\widehat{E}(\vec{0},\vec{0})\right|^2 \left|\widehat{F}(\vec{0},\vec{0})\right|^2 = q^{-4}|E|^2|F|^2|SO_2(\mathbb{F}_q)|^2.$$

3.2. **The term $m' \neq \vec{0}, m'' \neq \vec{0}$.**

$$\begin{aligned}
&q^{12} \sum_{m',m'' \in \mathbb{F}_q^2 \setminus \{\vec{0}\}} \widehat{E}(m',m'')\overline{\widehat{F}(m',m'')} \sum_{\theta,\varphi \in SO_2(\mathbb{F}_q)} \overline{\widehat{E}(\theta m',\varphi m'')}\widehat{F}(\theta m',\varphi m'') \\
=&q^{12} \sum_{a,b \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}} \sum_{\|m'\|=a, \|m''\|=b} \widehat{E}(m',m'')\overline{\widehat{F}(m',m'')} \sum_{\theta,\varphi \in SO_2(\mathbb{F}_q)} \overline{\widehat{E}(\theta m',\varphi m'')}\widehat{F}(\theta m',\varphi m'') \\
=&q^{12} \sum_{a,b \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}} \left| \sum_{\|m'\|=a, \|m''\|=b} \widehat{E}(m',m'')\overline{\widehat{F}(m',m'')} \right|^2
\end{aligned}$$

where we used Lemma 3.1 in the last step.

We continue with a trivial estimate on one of the inner factors

$$\begin{aligned}
&q^{12} \sum_{a,b \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}} \left| \sum_{\|m'\|=a, \|m''\|=b} \widehat{E}(m',m'')\overline{\widehat{F}(m',m'')} \right|^2 \\
\leq&q^{12} \sum_{a,b \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}} \sum_{\|m'\|=a, \|m''\|=b} |\widehat{E}(m',m'')|^2 \sum_{\|n'\|=a, \|n''\|=b} |\widehat{F}(n',n'')|^2 \\
\leq&q^{12} \left(\sum_{a,b \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}} \sum_{\|m'\|=a, \|m''\|=b} |\widehat{E}(m',m'')|^2 \right) \sum_{n',n'' \in \mathbb{F}_q^2 \setminus \{\vec{0}\}} |\widehat{F}(n',n'')|^2 \\
\leq&q^{12} \left(\sum_{m',m'' \in \mathbb{F}_q^2} |\widehat{E}(m',m'')|^2 \right) \left(\sum_{n',n'' \in \mathbb{F}_q^2} |\widehat{F}(n',n'')|^2 \right) \\
=&q^{12} \left(q^{-4} \sum_{u',u'' \in \mathbb{F}_q^2} |E(u',u'')|^2 \right) \left(q^{-4} \sum_{u',u'' \in \mathbb{F}_q^2} |E(u',u'')|^2 \right) \\
=&q^4|E||F|.
\end{aligned}$$

3.3. **The term $m' \neq \vec{0}, m'' = \vec{0}$.** As in the two previous cases we see

$$q^{12} \sum_{m' \in \mathbb{F}_q^2} \sum_{\theta, \varphi \in SO_2(\mathbb{F}_q)} \widehat{E}(m', \vec{0}) \overline{\widehat{E}(\theta m', \vec{0}) \widehat{F}(m', \vec{0})} \widehat{F}(\theta m', \vec{0})$$

$$= q^{12} |SO_2(\mathbb{F}_q)| \sum_{m' \in \mathbb{F}_q^2 \setminus \{\vec{0}\}} \widehat{E}(m', \vec{0}) \overline{\widehat{F}(m', \vec{0})} \sum_{\theta \in SO_2(\mathbb{F}_q)} \overline{\widehat{E}(\theta m', \vec{0})} \widehat{F}(\theta m', \vec{0}) \tag{3.5}$$

We will deal with the inner sum first. Let $0 \neq a = \|m'\|$.

$$\sum_{\theta \in SO_2(\mathbb{F}_q)} \overline{\widehat{E}(\theta m', \vec{0})} \widehat{F}(\theta m', \vec{0}) \leq \sum_{\|m\| = a} \overline{\widehat{E}(m, \vec{0})} \widehat{F}(m, \vec{0})$$

$$\leq \sqrt{\sum_{\|m\|=a} |\widehat{E}(m, \vec{0})|^2 \sum_{\|n\|=a} |\widehat{F}(n, \vec{0})|^2} \tag{3.6}$$

Lemma 3.2. *For $E \subset \mathbb{F}_q^2$, $0 \neq a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ we get*

$$\sum_{\|m\|=a} |\widehat{E}(m, \vec{0})|^2 \leq 3^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{-6} |E|^{\frac{3}{2}}$$

Proof. With the notation introduced in Lemma 2.1 and $g : \mathbb{F}_q^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ where $g(m) = \overline{\widehat{E}(m, \vec{0}) S_a(m)}$. we can write this as

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{F}_q^2} \widehat{E}(m, \vec{0}) S_a(m) g(m) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{F}_q^2} q^{-4} \sum_{x', x'' \in \mathbb{F}_q^2} \chi(-x' \cdot m) E(x', x'') S_a(m) g(m)$$

$$= q^{-2} \sum_{x' \in \mathbb{F}_q^2} \left(\sum_{x'' \in \mathbb{F}_q^2} E(x', x'') \right) \widehat{S_a g}(x')$$

Using Hölder's Inequality with $q = \frac{4}{3}, r = 4$ we can bound this by

$$\leq q^{-2} \left(\sum_{x' \in \mathbb{F}_q^2} \left(\sum_{x'' \in \mathbb{F}_q^2} E(x', x'') \right)^{\frac{4}{3}} \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \left(\sum_{x' \in \mathbb{F}_q^2} |\widehat{S_a g}(x')|^4 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \tag{3.7}$$

We will first find an estimate for the latter factor. By using the definition of the Fourier transform we get:

$$\sum_{x' \in \mathbb{F}_q^2} |\widehat{S_a g}(x')|^4 = q^{-6} \sum_{\substack{u, v, u', v' \in S_a \\ u + v = u' + v'}} g(u) g(v) \overline{g(u') g(v')} \tag{3.8}$$

Here we use the Fefferman trick. For fixed $u, v \in S_a$, $u \neq -v$ we want to find $u', v' \in S_a$ such that $u + v = u' + v'$. In other words we want to find $u' \in S_a$ such that

$(u+v-u') \in S_a$, so u' is in the intersection of the circles $\{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^2 : \|x\| = a\}$ and $\{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^2 : \|x-(u+v)\| = a\}$ which has at most two solutions as the circles are not identical $u+v \neq 0$. But we already know two solutions, namely u and v. So either $u' = u$ and $v' = v$ or $u' = v$ and $v' = u$. If $u = -v$ we get $u' \in S_a$ and $v' = -u'$. Therefore (and by noting that $g(-u) = \overline{g(u)}$) we can write (3.8) as

$$\begin{aligned}
&q^{-6}\left(\sum_{u,v\in S_a} 2g(u)g(v)\overline{g(u)g(v)} + \sum_{u,u'\in S_a} g(u)g(-u)\overline{g(u')g(-u')}\right)\\
=&3q^{-6}\sum_{u,v\in S_a}|g(u)|^2|g(v)|^2\\
=&3q^{-6}\left(\sum_{u\in S_a}|g(u)|^2\right)^2\\
=&3q^{-6}\left(\sum_{\|u\|=a}|\widehat{E}(u,\vec{0})|^2\right)^2
\end{aligned}$$

The other factor of (3.7) can be dealt with as follows

$$\left(\sum_{x'\in\mathbb{F}_q^2}\left(\sum_{x''\in\mathbb{F}_q^2}E(x',x'')\right)^{\frac{4}{3}}\right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \leq \left(\sum_{x'\in\mathbb{F}_q^2}\left(\sum_{x''\in\mathbb{F}_q^2}E(x',x'')\right)\left(\sum_{x''\in\mathbb{F}_q^2}E(x',x'')\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \leq q^{\frac{1}{2}}|E|^{\frac{3}{4}}$$

Therefore we have

$$\sum_{\|m\|=a}|\widehat{E}(m,\vec{0})|^2 \leq 3^{\frac{1}{4}}q^{-2}q^{\frac{1}{2}}|E|^{\frac{3}{4}}q^{-\frac{3}{2}}\left(\sum_{\|m\|=a}|\widehat{E}(m,\vec{0})|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

so

$$\sum_{\|m\|=a}|\widehat{E}(m,\vec{0})|^2 \leq 3^{\frac{1}{2}}q^{-4}q|E|^{\frac{3}{2}}q^{-3} = 3^{\frac{1}{2}}q^{-6}|E|^{\frac{3}{2}}$$

□

Continuing from (3.5) and using (3.6) and Lemma 3.2 we see

$$q^{12}|SO_2(\mathbb{F}_q)| \sum_{m'\in\mathbb{F}_q^2\setminus\{\vec{0}\}} \widehat{E}(m',\vec{0})\overline{\widehat{F}(m',\vec{0})} \sum_{\theta\in SO_2(\mathbb{F}_q)} \overline{\widehat{E}(\theta m',\vec{0})}\widehat{F}(\theta m',\vec{0})$$
$$\leq q^{12}|SO_2(\mathbb{F}_q)| \sum_{m'\in\mathbb{F}_q^2\setminus\{\vec{0}\}} \widehat{E}(m',\vec{0})\overline{\widehat{F}(m',\vec{0})} \cdot 3^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{-6}|E|^{\frac{3}{4}}|F|^{\frac{3}{4}}$$

Finally we need to deal with

$$\sum_{m'\in\mathbb{F}_q^2\setminus\{\vec{0}\}} \widehat{E}(m',\vec{0})\overline{\widehat{F}(m',\vec{0})} \leq \sqrt{\sum_{m'\in\mathbb{F}_q^2\setminus\{\vec{0}\}} |\widehat{E}(m',\vec{0})|^2 \sum_{m'\in\mathbb{F}_q^2\setminus\{\vec{0}\}} |\widehat{F}(m',\vec{0})|^2}$$
$$\leq \sqrt{q^{-8}|E||F|}$$

Putting those results together we find that (3.5) is bounded by

$$Cq^{12}qq^{-4}\sqrt{|E||F|}q^{-6}|E|^{\frac{3}{4}}|F|^{\frac{3}{4}} = Cq^3|E|^{\frac{5}{4}}|F|^{\frac{5}{4}}.$$

So we can bound the whole sum (3.4) by

$$q^4|E||F| + Cq^3\big(|E||F|\big)^{\frac{5}{4}} + q^{-4}|E|^2|F|^2|SO_d(\mathbb{F}_q)|^2.$$

Therefore we get from (3.1)

$$\min\left\{\frac{|E||F|}{3q^4}, \frac{\big(|E||F|\big)^{\frac{3}{4}}}{3Cq^3}, \frac{q^4}{3|SO_2(\mathbb{F}_q)|^2}\right\} \leq P(E).$$

Hence it is enough that

$$cq^2 \leq \frac{\big(|E||F|\big)^{\frac{3}{4}}}{3Cq^3} \iff cq^5 \leq \big(|E||F|\big)^{\frac{3}{4}} \iff cq^{\frac{20}{3}} \leq |E||F|$$

since in this case also

$$\frac{|E||F|}{3q^4} \geq \frac{cq^{\frac{20}{3}}}{q^4} \geq cq^2.$$

Remark 3.3 (Sharpness of results). Let p a prime, with $p \equiv 3 \mod 4$. Consider $E = \mathbb{F}_p^2 \times L$, where

$$L = \{(a,0) : a \in \{0,\dots,p^{1-\varepsilon}\}\},$$

Then $|E| = p^{3-\varepsilon}$ and $|\Delta(L)| = 2p^{1-\varepsilon}$, so $|B(E,E)| = o(p^2)$. Hence the $6+\frac{2}{3}$ exponent in Theorem 1.2 is potentially not best possible, but we definitely cannot go below 6.

References

[1] M. Bennett, D. Hart, A. Iosevich, J. Pakianathan and M. Rudnev, *Group actions and geometric combinatorics in* $\mathbb{F}_q^d$, Forum Math. **29** (2017), no. 1, 91-110. 6

[2] J. Bourgain, N. Katz, T. Tao. *A sum-product estimate in finite fields, and applications.* Geom. Funct. Anal. **14** (2004), 27–57. 1

[3] J. Chapman, M. B. Erdogan, D. Hart, A. Iosevich, and D. Koh, *Pinned distance sets, k-simplices, Wolff's exponent in finite fields and sum-product estimates*, Math Z. **271** (2012), 63–93. 1

[4] D. Hart, A. Iosevich, D. Koh, and M. Rudnev *Averages over hyperplanes, sum-product theory in vector spaces over finite fields and the Erdős-Falconer distance conjecture*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 363 (2011), no. 6, 3255–3275. 1, 5

[5] A. Iosevich and M. Rudnev *Erdős distance problem in vector spaces over finite fields*, Transactions of the AMS, (2007). 1, 3

[6] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, *Analytic Number Theory*, Colloquium Publications 53 (2004). 3

[7] A. Medrano, P. Myers, H. Stark, and A. Terras, *Finite analogues of Euclidean space*, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, **68** (1996), 221–238. 3

[8] L. Vinh, *The Szemerédi-Trotter type theorem and the sum-product estimate in finite fields*, European J. Combin. 32 (2011), no. 8, 1177–1181. 3

Department of Mathematics, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY
E-mail address: philipp.birklbauer@rochester.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY
E-mail address: iosevich@math.rochester.edu